

# TRANSITION TOPIC: Defense Management Models

**TASK**: Assess optional Defense Management Models and identify factors that will drive the next Secretary's management approach

## TASK GROUP:

Phil Odeen (Chair) Denis Bovin Jim Haveman Jim Kimsey

Steven Price Leigh Warner

COL Kevin Doxey (Executive Secretary)

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding an DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comment arters Services, Directorate for Inf	ts regarding this burden estimate formation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the 1215 Jefferson Davis	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington		
1. REPORT DATE 23 OCT 2008		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVE 00-00-2008	RED 3 to 00-00-2008		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT	NUMBER		
<b>Transition Topic: Defense Management Models</b>				5b. GRANT NUMBER			
					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
					5e. TASK NUMBER		
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
<b>Defense Business B</b>	ZATION NAME(S) AND AD coard,1155 Defense ton,DC,20301-1155		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER				
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAII Approved for publ	ABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	on unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	OTES						
14. ABSTRACT							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>	Same as Report (SAR)	7			

**Report Documentation Page** 

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188



## **ISSUE:**

 The Secretary's organizational model and leadership approach will significantly impact the effectiveness of the Department. Management processes and must be responsive to national security challenges and provide sound information and analyses to make informed decisions

#### **IMPORTANCE:**

- The next SECDEF must have a management approach that anticipates/responds to key national security and management challenges, expands DoD's organizational capacity, and fits his personal and management style
- New growing challenges, such as tighter budgets, cyber threats and asymmetrical warfare, demand a responsive, agile and synchronized management approach that capitalizes on the skills of a myriad of people and organizations



## **DISCUSSION:**

- Different Secretaries have had very different management models/ approaches, in part driven by their personality/management style
- Role of SecDef/Deputy and OSD has evolved
  - Secretary's role shifted over time between limited (1940s) to strong (1960s) authority
  - OSD staff, in acquisition and policy functions, has expanded its role and influence
- Models have differed based on the world situation, past events, and primary threats
  - 1940 and 1950s focused on improved coordination and integration of the Services and operating forces
  - Events drove change: SPUTNIK (DDR&E), MISSILE GAP (Civil Defense Office) and asymetric warfare/terrorism (USD/Intel)
  - Goldwater/Nichols (1980s) increased the role of the COCOMs and strengthened role of CJCS to provide independent and coherent military advice
- Factors driving the next Secretary's management approach (see later charts)
  - Fiscal/budget outlook, threats/military challenges, and Homeland Security role
  - The nature of the interagency/NSC process will also impact staffing and structure



## **DISCUSSION:**

- Structural weaknesses in several management systems have repeatedly surfaced over decades. They need early and sustained attention:
  - Poor management and information systems (finance, personnel and logistics)
  - Weak requirements process and inadequate cost estimating tools continue to impact decision making
  - Lack of clear authority and accountability (who is responsible?)
  - Response time and speed of effort are issues across management system usually react, not anticipate
  - Declining capability of the career workforce due to poor recruiting, increasing numbers of retirements, and personnel reductions



## **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

- 1. Adopt a management model that:
  - a. Focuses on the management issues and national security challenges facing DoD (see attached chart)
  - b. Clearly reflects the Department's top objectives
  - c. Provides clear authority and accountability to key subordinates
  - d. Fits the personal management style of the new Secretary
- 2. Analyze critical management processes and strengthen as necessary:
  - a. Determine which management systems support the Secretary's management model
  - b. Provide meaningful metrics, and reporting systems to measure and track them
  - c. Focus on areas of known weaknesses such as finance, personnel, and logistics
- 3. Secretary/Deputy must give priority to strengthening the Department's Human Resources. No organization or set of management processes will be effective without capable, motivated and empowered people:
  - a. Must attract, retain and motivate quality people for both the career and political positions.
  - b. Strengthen career personnel numbers and talent in such functions as acquisition, systems engineering, and R&D



## **Management Issues:**

			<u>Organizational</u>
	<u>Importance</u>	<u>Likelihood</u>	<u>Capacity</u>
Deep Budget Cuts	CRT	High	Med
Deep Force Cuts	High	High	Low
Weapon Costs/Schedules	CRT	High	Low
People Cost Increases	High	High	Med
Capability Requirements	High	High	Med
Zero Base Force Structure/Roles	Med	Med	Med
Network Centric/ Cyber Threats	CRT	CRT	Low
Basic Management Systems	Med	High	Low
Efficient Responsive Mgmt	Med	Med	Low
Intense Interagency Process	Low	Med	Med

Critical=CRT High Medium=Med Low

Organizational



# **National Security Challenges:**

			<b>Organizationa</b>
	<u>Importance</u>	<u>Likelihood</u>	<u>Capacity</u>
Increased WMD Threats	CRT	High	Med
Sharp Increase in Terrorism	Med	High	Med
Missile Defense	CRT	High	Med
Expanded Chinese Mil Capacity	High	Med	Low
Increased Regional Threats	High	High	High
Aggressive Russia	Med	Med	Low
Expanded Homeland Security Role	Med	Med	Low
Broader Post Conflict Role	Med	Low	Med

Critical=CRT High Medium=Med Low